UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK USDC SDNY

		DOCUMENT : ELECTRONICALLY FILED		
and S	MAS ESTLER, BLAKE RUEHRWEIN, TEVEN PARK, on behalf of themselves others similarly situated,			
	Plaintiffs,	: :		
v. :		CIVIL NO.: 1:16-cv-00932-LGS Judge Lorna G. Schofield		
DONU DONU DONU	XIN BRANDS INC., DUNKIN' JTS STORE #350125, DUNKIN' JTS STORE #350126, DUNKIN' JTS STORE #350127, DUNKIN' JTS STORE #245768, and	: : :		
	JTS STORE #345768, and DOES 1-500,	: :		
Defendants.		: :		
LORN		NAGEMENT PLAN ULING ORDER ct Judge:		
Civ. P	This Civil Case Management Plan is su . 26(f)(3).	bmitted by the parties in accordance with Fed. R.		
1.	All parties [consent/ do not consent _X_] to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial. 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. [If all parties consent, the remaining paragraphs need not be completed.]			
2.	The parties [have <u>X</u> _/ have not <u></u>] conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).			
3.	This case is governed by one of the following sets of rules and the parties' proposed dates in this order have been adjusted accordingly.			
	- ·	by the Initial Discovery Protocols for Employment ts.govicases/show.php?db=judge_info&id=713.		

	b.	A § 1983 case governed by the Plan for Certain § 1983 Cases Against the City of New York? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/1983%20Revised%20Plan%20and%20Exhibi
		ts.11.22.2013.pdf. [Yes/ No _X _]
	c.	A patent case subject to the Local Patent Rules? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/Standing_Order In re Local Patent Rules.pdf . [Yes/ No _X_]
4.	Altern	ative Dispute Resolution/Settlement
	a.	Settlement discussions [have/ have not _X_] taken place.
	b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following:
		The parties have not agreed on an early exchange of information.
	c.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case:
		The parties propose a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge.
	d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(c) be employed at the following point in the case (<i>e.g.</i> , within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery):
		Plaintiffs propose a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge be conducted within the next 30 days. Defendants Dunkin' Brands and Dunkin' Donuts Stores # 350125, #350126, and #350127 propose that a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge be conducted 30 days after the close of expert discovery, if any. If there is no expert discovery, these defendants propose a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge 45 days after the close of fact discovery.
	e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.
5.	No ado	ditional parties may be joined after without leave of Court.
	July 3	<u>0, 2016</u>

6.	Ame	nded pleadings may be filed without leave of Court until		
	<u>July</u>	<u>30, 2016</u>		
7.		d disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) conference, absent exceptional instances.]		
	May	<u>17, 2016</u>		
8.	Fact	Fact Discovery		
	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than [A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]		
		October 30, 2016, with permission of the Court October 14, 2016		
	b.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served by		
		<u>June 30, 2016</u>		
	c.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall be served by		
		<u>June 30, 2016</u>		
	d.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall be completed by		
		October 30, 2016, with permission of the Court		
	e.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by		
		July 1, 2016		
	f.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(b) through 8(e) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 8(a).		
9.	Expe	ert Discovery [if applicable]		
	a.	Anticipated types of experts if any:		

		The parties may use experts for liability and/or damages
	b.	All expert discovery shall be completed no later than [Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit unless types of experts are identified.
		<u>December 30, 2016, with permission of the Court</u> November 29, 2016
	с.	By [no later than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents and depositions, provided that (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) all expert discovery shall be completed by the date set forth in paragraph 9(a).
		<u>September 30, 2016</u> September 14, 2016
10.	This	case [is X / is not _] to be tried to a jury.
11.		nsel for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial
	Two	weeks.
12.		r issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in R. Civ. P. $26(f)(3)$, are set forth below.
		parties propose discussing and setting a briefing schedule for both summary ment and class certification at the October 31 case management conference
		ribed in 13(c) below.
13.	Statu	as Letters and Conferences
	a.	By[60 days after the commencement of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a status letter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2.
		<u>August 5, 2016</u> July 15, 2016

b.	By [14 days after the close of fact discovery], all counsel must
	confer to discuss settlement and jointly advise the Court in writing whether or not
	they request a referral for settlement discussions as provided in Paragraph 4(c)
	above.

November 14, 2016 October 28, 2016

c. On <u>December 13, 2016</u> at <u>10:30</u> A.M. [usually 14 days after the close of discovery], a case management conference shall be held. The conference will serve either as a pre-motion conference for any party seeking to file a summary judgment motion, or a scheduling conference for trial.

October 31, 2016 A status conference shall be held on November 1, 2016, at 10:30 a.m.

- i. A party wishing to file a summary judgment motion shall file a pre-motion letter, and any party wishing to oppose also shall file a letter at the times and in the form provided in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. The Court will set the briefing schedule at the conference. The Court will set a firm trial date after a decision on any summary judgment motion.
- ii. If no pre-motion letters are timely filed, at the Case Management Conference, the Court will set dates for a final pre-trial order, other pretrial submissions, a final pre-trial conference and trial. The trial date will be firm.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein (except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar.

SO ORDERED

Dated: May 17, 2016

New York, New York

Lorna G. Schofield

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Counsel for the Parties:

Carl J. Mayer
Zachary J. Liszka
Mayer Law Group, LLC
1180 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 800
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 382-4686
Fay: (212) 382-4687

Tel: (212) 382-4686 Fax: (212) 382-4687 cyberesquire@aol.com zachliszka@gmail.com

Christopher G. Kelly Katherine A. Skeele Holland & Knight LLP 31 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 christopher.kelly@hklaw.com katherine.skeele@hklaw.com Eric L. Yaffe
Virginia E. Davis Horton
Whitney A. Fore
Gray Plant Mooty Mooty & Bennett, PA
600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20037
Tel: (202) 295-2200
Fax: (202) 295-2250
eric.yaffe@gpmlaw.com
virginia.horton@gpmlaw.com

whitney.fore@gpmlaw.com